



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,180	04/18/2001	Chikaharu Takamoto	401162	7398
23548	7590	09/21/2004	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960				SONG, HOSUK
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2135		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/836,180	TAKAMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hosuk Song	2135	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/18/01;12/10/02.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1,2,4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Salas et al(US 6,233,600).

Claim 1: Salas disclose a storage unit storing a user identifier, an address of a web page within the web server readable by a user having user identifier in (col.14,lines 5-24). Salas disclose address of a web page within the web server changeable by a user having user identifier in (col.2,lines 6-11;col.6,lines 40-45). Salas disclose an authentication unit comparing a user identifier received from terminal with the user identifier stored in storage unit to determine whether a user having the user identifier received from terminal is allowed to read web page and whether the same user is allowed to change web page in (col.12,lines 34-44;col.14,lines 9-54).

Claim 2: Salas disclose storage unit correlates user identifier with web page for store in a table in (col.14,lines 25-36,46-54).

Claim 4: Salas disclose storing a user identifier, an address of a web page within the web server readable by a user having user identifier in (col.14,lines 5-24). Salas disclose address of a web page within the web server changeable by a user having user identifier in (col.2,lines 6-11;col.6,lines 40-45). Salas disclose comparing a user identifier received from terminal with the user identifier stored in storage unit to determine whether a user having the user identifier received from terminal is allowed to read web page and whether the same user is allowed to change web page in (col.12,lines 34-44;col.14,lines 9-54).

Claim 5: Salas disclose storage unit correlates user identifier with web page for store in a table in (col.14,lines 25-36,46-54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 3,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salas et al(US 6,233,600) in view of Boyd et al(US 6,112,238).

Claims 3,6: Salas does not specifically disclose a compiling unit counting number of access to each web page and compiling the counted number for each attribute of identifier as access information and storage unit stores access information. Boyd's patent discloses this limitation in (col.5,lines 40-65;col.6,lines 1-9,18-29,55-65). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to count number of access to each web page and compiling the counted number for each attribute of identifier as access information and storage unit stores access information as taught in Boyd with web page access system disclosed in Salas because by recording log data, analysis can be performed in way that system can calculate weakness/vulnerability spots of its system so that improvement can be made to deter against data hacking thus enhancing overall data processing.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Freishtat et al(US 5,945,989)

b. Hunt (US 6,192,398)

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hosuk Song whose telephone number is 703-305-0042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

** Please note of following changes starting 10/25/2004**.

- a) New telephone number for TC 2100 receptionist is 571-272-2100.
- b) New contact number for Examiner is 571-272-3857
- c) New contact number for Examiner's supervisor is 571-272-3859.

HS

Hosuk G
AU 2135